**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH**
**AT HYDERABAD**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT
**THE HON'BLE SRI DEVINDER GUPTA,THE CHIEF JUSTICE**
**and**
**THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 1514 of 2004**

Between:

Khaja Nayeemuddin, S/o.Late Ghouse Mohiuddin,
R/o. H.No.17-3B-326, Chandranagar, Yakatpura, Hyderabad.
**..... PETITIONER**

AND

1 The Registrar [Administration] High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.
2 The Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Criminal Court Complex, Nampally,
Hyderabad.
3 The Special Judge for Economic Offences-cum-VIII Addl. Metropolitan
Sessions Judge, Criminal Court Complex, Nampally, Hyderabad.
**.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the impugned action of the respondents in issuing impugned Order ROC.No.3083/2003-CI[i] dated 9.1.2004 on the file of the 1st respondent wherein reverting the petitioner from the post of Administrative officer as highly illegal, arbitrary and violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and contrary to the provisions of A.P. Judicial Ministerial Service Rules, 2003.

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR.G.V.SHIVAJI, Advocate

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: SMT.M.BHASKARA LAKSHMI (SC FOR APHC)

The Court Made the Following :

**ORAL ORDER: (Per The Hon'ble The Chief Justice)**

In view of the subsequent orders passed by the Special Judge for Economic Offences-cum-VIII Additional Metropolitan Sessions Judge, City Criminal Courts, Nampally, Hyderabad, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

The writ petition is disposed of with liberty reserved to the petitioner that in the event of his suffering any adverse order subsequently, for which grievance has been made in the present writ petition, he will be at liberty to file fresh petition. For any of the left over grievances, petitioner is at liberty to submit his representation to the respondents.

_____
(DEVINDER GUPTA, C.J.,)

_____
(G. ROHINI, J.)

Dt.21.06.2004

ys

To

1 The Registrar [Administration] High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.
2 The Metropolitan Sessions Judge, Criminal Court Complex, Nampally,
Hyderabad.
3 The Special Judge for Economic Offences-cum-VIII Addl.

Metropolitan
Sessions Judge, Criminal Court Complex, Nampally, Hyderabad.
4 Two CD copies.